

R307-320

2. Title: Davis, Salt Lake and Utah Counties, and Ogden City: Employer-Based Trip Reduction Program.

3. Type of notice: Amend

4. Purpose of the rule or reason for the change:

The purpose of these amendments is to clarify R307-320 by adding language to align the rule with the new ozone maintenance plan and making other grammatical corrections throughout R307-320 to improve the readability of the rule. These amendments are part of revisions to rules related to the ozone maintenance plan (see separate filing on R307-307-101-2, R307-110-13, R307-325, R307-326, R307-327, R307-328, R307-332, R307-335, R037-340, R307-341, R307-342, and R307-343 in this issue.) In addition, language that would trigger R307-320 as a contingency measure for the PM₁₀ SIP was removed because the Trip Reduction Program is no longer listed as a contingency measure in the PM₁₀ Maintenance Plan.

6. Summary of the rule change:

References to Salt Lake and Davis Counties were replaced by the term “ozone maintenance area.” Other grammatical corrections were made throughout R307-320 to improve the readability of the rule. These amendments are part of revisions to rules related to the ozone maintenance plan (see separate filing on R307-307-101-2, R307-110-13, R307-325, R307-326, R307-327, R307-328, R307-332, R307-335, R037-340, R307-341, R307-342, and R307-343 in this issue.) In addition, language that would trigger R307-320 as a contingency measure for the PM₁₀ SIP was removed because the Trip Reduction Program is no longer listed as a contingency measure in the PM₁₀ Maintenance Plan.

7. Aggregate anticipated cost or savings to:

- a. State budget:** Because these revisions do not create any new requirements, no change in costs is expected to the state budget.
- b. Local government:** Because these revisions do not create any new requirements, no change in costs is expected for local governments.
- c. Other persons:** Because these revisions do not create any new requirements, no change in costs is expected for other persons.

8. Compliance costs for affected persons:

Because these revisions do not create any new requirements, no change in costs is expected for affected persons.

9. Comments by the Dept head on the fiscal impact the rule may have on businesses:

Because these revisions do not create new requirements, no change to costs is expected for businesses. (Dr. Dianne R. Nielson, Executive Director, Department of Environmental Quality)

10. Authorizing statute: 19-2-104(1)(h)

11. Materials incorporated by reference?

12. Public comment and hearing info: October 17, 2006 at 2 pm in Room 101 of the DEQ Building at 168 N 1950 West in Salt Lake City.

13. May become effective on: December 7, 2006

14. Keywords: air pollution, emission controls, ozone, VOC

Authorizing signature

Date